



DRAFT TANZANIA STANDARD

Determination of the difference between actual and theoretical content of triacylglycerols with Equivalent Carbon Number (ECN) 42 in Olive oils

DRAFT FOR STAKEHOLDER'S COMMENTS

TANZANIA BUREAU OF STANDARDS

0 Foreword

The standard is applicable to olive oils. The method is applicable to the detection of the presence of small amounts of other seed oils (rich in linoleic acid) in every class of olive oils.

In the preparation of this Tanzania Standard considerable help was derived from:

INTERNATIONAL OLIVE COUNCIL COI/T.20/Doc. No 20 /Rev. 4 of 2017, *determination of the difference between actual and theoretical content of triacylglycerols with ecn 42* published by INTERNATIONAL OLIVE COUNCIL.

In reporting the results of a test or analysis made in accordance with this Tanzania Standard, if the final value, observed or calculated is to be rounded off, it shall be done in accordance with TZS 4.

1.0 Scope

This Tanzania standard prescribes a method for determination of the absolute difference between the experimental values of triacylglycerols (TAGs) with equivalent carbon number 42 (ECN42HPLC) obtained by determination in the oil by high performance liquid chromatography and the theoretical value of TAGs with an equivalent carbon number of 42 (ECN 42 theoretical) calculated from the fatty acid composition.

2.0 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies;

TZS 4, *Rounding off numerical values*

TZS 288 (Part 1), *Animal and vegetable fats and oils — Preparation of methyl esters of fatty acids*

TZS 288(Part 2), *Animal and vegetable fats and oils — Analysis by gas chromatography of methyl ester of fatty acids*

3.0 Principle

The content of triacylglycerols with ECN 42 determined by HPLC analysis and the theoretical content of triacylglycerols with ECN 42 (calculated on the basis of GLC determination of fatty acid composition) correspond within a certain limit for reference olive oils. A difference larger than the values adopted for each type of oil points out that the oil contains seed oils.

4.0 Method

The method for the calculation of the theoretical content of triacylglycerols with ECN 42 and of the difference with respect to the HPLC data is essentially by the co-ordination of analytical data obtained by means of other methods. It is possible to distinguish three phases: determination of fatty acid composition by capillary gas chromatography, calculation of theoretical composition of triacylglycerols with ECN 42, HPLC determination of ECN 42 triacylglycerols.

4.1. Apparatus

4.1.1 Round-bottomed flasks, 250 and 500 ml.

4.1.2 Beakers 100 ml.

4.1.3 Glass chromatographic column, 21 mm internal diameter, 450 mm length, with cock and normalised cone (female) at the top.

4.1.4 Separating funnels, 250 ml, with normalised cone (male) at the bottom, suitable for connection to the top of the column.

4.1.5 Glass rod, 600 mm length.

4.1.6 Glass funnel, 80 mm diameter.

4.1.7 Volumetric flasks, 50 ml.

4.1.8 Volumetric flasks, 20 ml.

4.1.9 Rotary evaporator.

4.1.10 High performance liquid chromatograph, allowing thermostatic control of column temperature.

4.1.11 Injection units for 10 µl delivery.

4.1.12 Detector: differential refractometer. The full scale sensitivity should be at least 10^{-4} units of refractive index.

4.1.13 Column: stainless steel tube 250 mm length x 4.5 mm internal diameter packed with 5 µm diameter particles of silica with 22 to 23% carbon in the form of octadecylsilane*.

4.1.14 Data processing software.

4.1.15 Vials, of about 2 mL volumes, with Teflon-layered septa and screw caps.

*Examples: Lichrosorb (Merck) RP 18 Art 50333
Lichrosphere (Merck) 100 CH18 Art 50377 or equivalent

4.2. Reagents

The reagents should be of analytical purity. Elution solvents should be de-gassed, and may be recycled several times without effect on the separations.

4.2.1 Petroleum ether 40 - 60°C chromatographic/HPLC Grade or hexane. Hexane may be replaced by iso- octane (2,2,4-trimethyl pentane in chromatography grade), provided that comparable precision values are achieved (see Precision values of the method with the used of isooctane), Solvents with higher boiling point than n-hexane take longer to evaporate. However, they are preferred due to the toxicity of hexane.

4.2.2 Ethyl ether, peroxide-free, freshly distilled.

4.2.3 Elution solvent for purifying the oil by column chromatography mixture petroleum ether/ethyl ether 87/13 (v/v).

4.2.4 Silica gel, 70-230 mesh, type Merck 7734, with water content standardised at 5% (w/w).

4.2.5 Glass wool.

4.2.6 Acetone for HPLC.

4.2.7 Acetonitrile or propionitrile for HPCL.

4.2.8 HPLC elution solvent: acetonitrile + acetone (proportions to be adjusted to obtain the desired separation; begin with 50:50 mixture) or propionitrile.

4.2.9 Solubilisation solvent: acetone.

4.2.10 Reference triglycerides: commercial triglycerides (tripalmitin, triolein, etc.) may be used and the retention times then plotted in accordance with the equivalent carbon number, or alternatively reference chromatograms obtained from soya oil, mixture 30:70 soya oil - olive oil and pure olive oil

4.2.11 Solid phase extraction column with silica phase 1 g, 6 ml.

4.2.12 Heptane, chromatographic quality. Heptane may be replaced by iso-octane (2,2,4-trimethyl pentane in chromatography grade).

4.3. Sample preparation

As a number of interfering substances can give rise to false positive results, the sample must always be purified according to either procedure 4.3.1 & 4.3.2 (purification on silica gel column) or procedure 4.3.3 (purification silica gel SPE).

4.3.1 Purification on silica gel column - Chromatographic column preparation

Fill the column (4.1.3.) with about 30 ml of elution solvent (4.2.3.), then introduce inside the column some glass wool (4.2.5.) pushing it to the bottom of the column by means of the glass rod (4.1.5.) In a 100 ml beaker, suspend 25 g of silica gel (4.2.4.) in 80 ml of elution mixture (4.2.3.), then transfer it to the column by means of a glass funnel (4.1.6.).

To ensure the complete transfer of the silica gel to the column, wash the beaker with the elution mixture and transfer the washing portions to the column too. Open the cock and let the solvent elute from the column until its level is about 1 cm over the silica gel.

4.3.2 Purification on silica gel column- Column chromatography

Weigh with the accuracy of 0.001 g, 2.5 ± 0.1 g of oil, previously filtered, homogenised and anhydriified, if necessary, in a 50 ml volumetric flask (4.1.7.). Dissolve it in about 20 ml of elution solvent (4.2.3.). If necessary, slightly heat it to make the dissolution easily. Cool at room temperature and adjust the volume with elution solvent.

By means of a volumetric pipette, introduce 20 ml of solution inside the column prepared according to 4.3.1., open the cock and let the solvent elute to the silica gel layer level.

Then elute with 150 mL of elution solvent (4.2.3.), adjusting the solvent rate at about 2 ml/min (150 mL will take about 60-70 minutes to pass through the column). The eluate is recovered in a 250 mL round-bottomed flask (4.1.1.) previously tared in an oven and exactly weighed. Eliminate the solvent at reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator (4.1.9) and weigh the residue that will be used to prepare the solution for HPLC analysis and for methyl ester preparation.

The sample recovery from the column must be 90% at least for the extra virgin, virgin, ordinary, refined and olive oil categories, and a minimum of 80% for lampante and olive-pomace oils.

4.3.3 SPE purification

Silica SPE column (4.2.11) is activated by passing 6 ml of hexane (4.2.1) under vacuum, avoiding dryness.

Weigh to an accuracy of 0.001 g, 0.12 g in a 2 mL vial (4.1.15) and dissolve with 0.5 ml of hexane (4.2.1).

Load the SPE column with the solution and elute with 10 ml of hexane-diethyl ether (87:13 v/v) (4.2.3) under vacuum.

The combined eluates are homogenized and divided in two similar volumes. The collected fractions are evaporated to dryness in a rotary evaporator (4.1.9) under reduced pressure at room temperature. The first aliquot is dissolved in 1 ml of heptane and the solution is ready for fatty acid methyl ester preparation and analysis by GC.

The second aliquot is evaporated and the residue is dissolved in 1 ml of acetone (4.2.6) for triglyceride analysis by HPLC (4.4).

4.4. HPLC analysis**4.4.1 Preparation of the samples for chromatographic analysis**

A 5% solution of the sample to be analysed is prepared by weighing 0.5 ± 0.001 g of the sample into a 10 ml graduated flask and making up to 10 ml with the solubilisation solvent (4.2.9.).

4.4.2 Procedure

Set up the chromatographic system. Pump elution solvent (4.2.8) at a rate of 1.5 ml/min to purge the entire system. Wait until a stable base line is obtained. Inject 10 μ l of the sample prepared as in 4.3.

4.4.3 Calculation and expression of results

Use the area normalisation method, i.e. assume that the sum of the areas of the peaks corresponding to TAGs from ECN 42 up to ECN 52 is equal to 100%. Calculate the relative percentage of each triglyceride using the formula:

% triglyceride = area of peak x 100/ sum of peak areas.

The results should be given to at least two decimal places.

4.5. Calculation of triacylglycerols composition (moles %) from fatty acid composition data (area %)**4.5.1 Determination of fatty acid composition**

Fatty acid composition is determined following TZS 288

4.5.2 Fatty acids for calculation

Glycerides are grouped by their Equivalent Carbon Number (ECN), taking into account the following equivalencies between ECN and fatty acids. Only fatty acids with 16 and 18 carbon atoms were taken into consideration, because only these are important for olive oil. The fatty acids should be normalised to 100%.

Fatty acid (FA)	Abbreviation	Molecular weight (MW)	ECN
Palmitic acid	P	256.4	16
Palmitoleic acid	Po	254.4	14
Stearic acid	S	284.5	18
Oleic acid	O	282.5	16
Linoleic acid	L	280.4	14
Linolenic acid	Ln	278.4	12

4.5.3 Conversion of area % into moles for all fatty acids

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{moles P} = \frac{\text{area \% P}}{\text{MW P}} \quad \text{moles S} = \frac{\text{area \% S}}{\text{MW S}} \quad \text{moles Po} = \frac{\text{area \% Po}}{\text{MW Po}} \\
 \text{moles O} = \frac{\text{area \% O}}{\text{MW O}} \quad \text{moles L} = \frac{\text{area \% L}}{\text{MW L}} \quad \text{moles Ln} = \frac{\text{area \% Ln}}{\text{MW Ln}}
 \end{array} \quad (1)$$

4.5.4 Normalisation of fatty acid moles to 100%

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{moles \% P (1,2,3)} = \frac{\text{moles P} * 100}{\text{moles (P + S + Po + O + L + Ln)}} \\
 \text{moles \% S (1,2,3)} = \frac{\text{moles S} * 100}{\text{moles (P + S + Po + O + L + Ln)}} \\
 \text{moles \% Po (1,2,3)} = \frac{\text{moles Po} * 100}{\text{moles (P + S + Po + O + L + Ln)}} \\
 \text{moles \% O (1,2,3)} = \frac{\text{moles O} * 100}{\text{moles (P + S + Po + O + L + Ln)}} \\
 \text{moles \% L (1,2,3)} = \frac{\text{moles L} * 100}{\text{moles (P + S + Po + O + L + Ln)}}
 \end{array} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{moles \% Ln (1,2,3)} = \frac{\text{moles Ln} * 100}{\text{moles (P + S + Po + O + L + Ln)}}$$

The result gives the percentage of each fatty acid in moles % in the overall (1, 2, 3-) position of the TAGs.

Then the sum of the saturated fatty acids P and S (SFA) and the unsaturated fatty acids Po, O, L and Ln (UFA) are calculated:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{moles \% SFA} &= \text{moles \% P} + \text{moles \% S} \\ \text{moles \% UFA} &= 100 - \text{moles \% SFA} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \text{moles \% SFA} \\ \text{moles \% UFA} \end{aligned}} \right\} (3)$$

4.5.5 Calculation of the fatty acid composition in 2- and 1,3- positions of TAGs

The fatty acids are distributed to three pools as follows: two identical for 1- and 3- positions and one for 2-position, with different coefficients for the saturated (P and S) and unsaturated acids (Po, O, L and Ln).

4.5.5.1 Saturated fatty acids in 2-position [P (2) and S (2)]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{moles \% P(2)} &= \text{moles \% P(1,2,3)} * 0.06 \\ \text{moles \% S(2)} &= \text{moles \% S (1,2,3)} * 0.06 \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \text{moles \% P(2)} \\ \text{moles \% S(2)} \end{aligned}} \right\} (4)$$

4.5.5.2 Unsaturated fatty acids in 2-position [Po (2), O (2), L (2) and Ln (2)]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{moles \% Po(2)} &= \frac{\text{moles \% Po(1,2,3)}}{\text{moles \% UFA}} * (100 - \text{moles \% P(2)} - \text{moles \% S(2)}) \\ \text{moles \% O(2)} &= \frac{\text{moles \% O(1,2,3)}}{\text{moles \% UFA}} * (100 - \text{moles \% P(2)} - \text{moles \% S(2)}) \\ \text{moles \% L(2)} &= \frac{\text{moles \% L(1,2,3)}}{\text{moles \% UFA}} * (100 - \text{moles \% P(2)} - \text{moles \% S(2)}) \\ \text{moles \% Ln(2)} &= \frac{\text{moles \% Ln(1,2,3)}}{\text{moles \% UFA}} * (100 - \text{moles \% P(2)} - \text{moles \% S(2)}) \end{aligned} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} \text{moles \% Po(2)} \\ \text{moles \% O(2)} \\ \text{moles \% L(2)} \\ \text{moles \% Ln(2)} \end{aligned}} \right\} (5)$$

4.5.5.3 Fatty acids in 1,3-positions [P (1,3), S (1,3), Po (1,3), O (1,3), L(1,3) and Ln(1,3)]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{moles \% P(1,3)} &= \frac{\text{moles \% P(1,2,3)} - \text{moles \% P(2)}}{2} \square \text{moles \% P(1,2,3)} \\ \text{moles \% S(1,3)} &= \frac{\text{moles \% S(1,2,3)} - \text{moles \% S(2)}}{2} \square \text{moles \% S(1,2,3)} \\ \text{Po(1,3)} &= \frac{\text{moles \% Po(1,2,3)} - \text{moles \% Po(2)}}{2} \square \text{moles \% Po(1,2,3)} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} (6) \\ \text{moles \%} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{moles \% O(1,3)} &= \frac{\text{moles \% O(1,2,3)} - \text{moles \% O(2)}}{2} \square \text{moles \% O(1,2,3)} \\ \text{moles \% L(1,3)} &= \frac{\text{moles \% L(1,2,3)} - \text{moles \% L(2)}}{2} \square \text{moles \% L(1,2,3)} \\ \text{moles \% Ln(1,3)} &= \frac{\text{moles \% Ln(1,2,3)} - \text{moles \% Ln(2)}}{2} \square \text{moles \% Ln(1,2,3)} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} (6) \end{array} \right\}$$

4.5.6 Calculation of triacylglycerols

4.5.6.1 TAGs with one fatty acid (AAA, here LLL, PoPoPo)

$$\text{moles \% AAA} = \frac{\text{moles \% A(1,3)} * \text{moles \% A(2)} * \text{moles \% A(1,3)}}{10,000} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} (7) \end{array} \right\}$$

4.5.6.2 TAGs with two fatty acids (AAB, here PoPoL, PoLL)

$$\text{moles \% AAB} = \frac{\text{moles \% A(1,3)} * \text{moles \% A(2)} * \text{moles \% B(1,3)} * 2}{10,000} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} (8) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{moles \% ABA} = \frac{\text{moles \% A(1,3)} * \text{moles \% B(2)} * \text{moles \% A(1,3)}}{10,000}$$

4.5.6.3 TAGs with three different fatty acids (ABC, here OLLn, PLLn, PoOLn, PPoln)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{moles \% ABC} &= \frac{\text{moles \% A(1,3)} * \text{moles \% B(2)} * \text{moles \% C(1,3)} * 2}{10,000} \\ \text{moles \% BCA} &= \frac{\text{moles \% B(1,3)} * \text{moles \% C(2)} * \text{moles \% A(1,3)} * 2}{10,000} \\ \text{moles \% CAB} &= \frac{\text{moles \% C(1,3)} * \text{moles \% A(2)} * \text{moles \% B(1,3)} * 2}{10,000} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

4.5.6.4 Triacylglycerols with ECN42

The triacylglycerols with ECN42 are calculated according to equations 7, 8 and 9 and are then given in order of expected elution in HPLC (normally only three peaks);

- LLL
- PoLL and the positional isomer LPol

- OLLn and the positional isomers OLnL and LnOL
- PoPoL and the positional isomer PoLPo
- PoOLn and the positional isomers OPoLn and OLnPo

- PLLn and the positional isomers LLnP and LnPL
- PoPoPo
- SLnLn and the positional isomer LnSLn
- PPoln and the positional isomers PLnPo and PoPLn

The triacylglycerols with ECN42 are given by the sum of the nine triacylglycerols including their positional isomers. The results should be given to at least two decimal places.

5. Evaluation of the results

The calculated theoretical content and the content determined by the HPLC analysis are compared. If the difference in the absolute value of the HPLC data minus the theoretical data is greater than the values stated for the appropriate oil category in the standard, the sample contains seed oil. Results are given to two decimal figures.